LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN,	P.C.
TODD M. FRIEDMAN, SBN 216752	
tfriedman@toddflaw.com	
ADRIAN R. BACON, SBN 280332	
abacon@toddflaw.com	
21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 780 Woodland Hills, CA 91367	
Telephone: 877.206.4741	
Facsimile: 866.633.0228	
SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN (SBN 310719)	
(sliss@llrlaw.com)	
ANNE KRAMER (SBN 315131)	
(akramer@llrlaw.com)	
LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.	
729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000	
Boston, MA 02116 Γelephone: (617) 994-5800	
Facsimile: (617) 994-5801	
,	
Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Cla	SS
SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNT	ΓΥ OF LOS ANGELES
DANIEL MARKO, JESUS CORONA, on	Case No. BC659841
behalf of themselves and others similarly	
situated and in their capacity as Private	DECLARATION OF CYNTHIA
Attorneys General Representatives,	MARCIANO
Plaintiffs,	Dept.: 7 Trial Date: None Set
V.	Hon. Amy D. Hogue
	Hon. Amy D. Hogue
DOORDASH, INC.,	Hearing Date: July12, 2021
	Hearing Time: 11:00 am
Defendant.	

I, Cynthia Marciano, declare:

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration.
- 2. I have worked as a DoorDash delivery driver in the Santa Clara County, California area since on or about September 2014 until on or about May 2019.
- 3. In July 2015, I filed a complaint against DoorDash with the DLSE, seeking unpaid wages expenses, and liquidated damages arising from my classification as an independent contractor. I prepared my complaint myself but eventually hired Lichten & Liss-Riordan to represent me. I subsequently filed a case in San Francisco Superior Court as a PAGA action, which was consolidated with a separate class action case against DoorDash arising from the same practices. That case, *Marciano v. DoorDash Inc.*, CGC-15-528101 (San Fran Sup. Ct.), resulted in a classwide settlement on behalf of DoorDash drivers across the state of California. I traveled several hours to attend court proceedings on several occasions and spent many hours reviewing pleadings and working with my lawyers to supply documents in support of the case. I was very proud of the result we reached in that case, but I was also determined to continue to hold DoorDash to account, particularly after the California Supreme Court's decision in *Dynamex*. I sent a new PAGA letter to the DLSE as soon as the *Dynamex* case was decided, and I filed a new PAGA case against DoorDash in July 2018, to cover the time period that was not released by my first case.
- 4. Throughout this case (and before it was filed), I have provided my attorneys with numerous documents relating to my work for DoorDash. I have many documents from DoorDash because I have worked as a DoorDash delivery driver for many years. Because I work full time in the gig economy, I regularly research court filings and news regarding the progress of all cases against gig economy companies (like DoorDash and Uber) and developments in the law. I have also researched the gig economy, my attorneys and all the work they have done. Over years I have been in close contact with my attorneys regarding this case and the impact of the *Dynamex* decision, AB5, and Proposition 22 on the strength of my case. I estimate I spent 45 minutes every other day researching these matters and keeping up to date on the latest news and legal developments in this area.

- 5. I understood that as the lead plaintiff in this case, I would be representing both the interests of the state of California as well as the many other California DoorDash delivery drivers who have been misclassified like myself. I estimate I spent approximately 10 hours reviewing the PAGA letter and Complaint and other court filings, such as DoorDash's motion to compel arbitration and our Motion for Summary Adjudication on employee status.
- 6. I have taken my role as a lead plaintiff and PAGA representative in this case very seriously. Since filing my case in July 2018, and long before that, I have worked closely with my attorneys, and they have kept me apprised of our progress. With respect to the settlement that was reached, I have reviewed several iterations of the settlement agreement in this case very carefully. In total, I estimate that I have spent approximately 15 hours reviewing settlement documents and discussing them with counsel.
- 7. In addition to providing my attorneys with documents and information regarding my work for DoorDash, I also spoke and corresponded regularly with my attorneys and their staff about conditions on the ground for DoorDash delivery drivers. I estimate that I spent about 25 hours in total talking or corresponding with my attorneys and their staff about case updates and developments in the litigation, including other cases and events that impacted our case (like the passage of AB5 and Proposition 22.
- 8. I have been actively involved in recruiting others to this case and cause. In fact, I brought one of the other named plaintiffs (Manuel Magana) to become part of this class action. I have been doing so through social media posts and networking.
- 9. In total, I estimate that I spent over 80 hours working on this case, including reviewing case materials, sending documents to my attorneys, discussing and corresponding with my attorneys about the case, communicating with other delivery drivers online, and researching labor violations in the gig economy.
- 10. Throughout this litigation, I have feared my retaliation for my participation in the case. Initially, I was still working for DoorDash and relying on that income while my case was ongoing. I was also worried about having my name on this case a second time and how that might affect future employment with other companies if I became known as a serial litigant. I've

had these concerns since the case was filed, but I was willing to do it because I feel passionately that it is the right thing to do for people who have worked for DoorDash as delivery drivers.

11. I have reviewed the settlement agreement in this case. I understand my role as a class representative of the proposed settlement class is to look out for the interests of other DoorDash delivery drivers as I would my own and to make sure the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. I have taken that duty seriously. I have carefully reviewed the settlement materials, and I believe this settlement is fair and reasonable to the settlement class considering the risks.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on September _____, 2021, in Santa Clara, California.

Cynthia marciano

By: ______

CYNTHIA MARCIANO